

Re: Attorney Docket No. Baker 4-15-3-2-2In re application of: Betty A. McDaniel; William Edward Baker; Narender R. Vangati;  
Mauricio Calle; and James T. KirkSerial No.: 10/037,040Group Art Unit: 2129Filed: 12/21/2001Examiner: Benjamin BussMatter No.: 992.1293Phone No.: 571-272-5831For: Method of Improving the Lookup Performance of Tree-Type Knowledge Base Searches**STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW**Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This Statement of Substance of Interview is submitted in response to the Examiner's Interview Summary, dated 04/09/2007. On 03/14/2007 Applicant's Attorney Edward Meisarosh had a telephone conversation with the Examiner, and on 03/15/2007 Mr. Meisarosh had telephone conversations with the Examiner and with Supervisor David Vincent. As summarized in the Examiner's Interview Summary, Mr. Meisarosh proposed some of the language in the Examiner's Amendment in response to the suggestion to remove the "adapted to" language in the claims. However, Mr. Meisarosh does not recall saying that "he would rather invoke 112 6th paragraph," as stated in the Examiner's Interview Summary. If section 112 paragraph 6, or its language, was brought up by Mr. Meisarosh in the course of the conversations, then it would have been brought up for exemplary purpose only. There was no intention to invoke section 112 paragraph 6, and Applicant neither admits nor believes that section 112 paragraph 6 applies to any of the claims.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 04/20/2007  
Customer No. 46900  
Mendelsohn & Associates, P.C.  
1500 John F. Kennedy Blvd., Suite 405  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102/Edward J. Meisarosh/  
Edward J. Meisarosh  
Registration No. 57,463  
Attorney for Applicant  
(215) 599-3639 (phone)  
(215) 557-8477 (fax)